GEOPOLITICS & SECURITY

The 72-Hour Warning: Why 20+ Nations Are Telling Citizens to Prepare for Crisis

NEW START expired February 5, 2026. NATO credibility questioned. Baltic infrastructure under attack. From Sweden to Taiwan, governments are issuing unprecedented civilian preparedness guidance. What do they know that we don't?

30 min read February 9, 2026 Bagus Dwi Permana

The Global Preparedness Awakening

Something unprecedented is happening across the globe. From the Nordic countries to East Asia, from the European Union to the Americas, governments are quietly — and sometimes not so quietly — urging their citizens to prepare for crisis scenarios that seemed unthinkable just a decade ago.

The message is consistent: **Be ready to survive on your own for at least 72 hours.** Some countries are pushing further — 1 week, even 2 weeks of self-sufficiency.

This isn't paranoia. This is policy. And understanding why requires examining a convergence of geopolitical factors that have fundamentally altered the global security landscape in 2025-2026.

The Core Message

Over **20+ nations** have issued or updated civilian emergency preparedness guidance since 2024.

The common thread: Citizens should be prepared to survive **72 hours to 2 weeks** without government assistance.

This represents the most significant expansion of civil defense messaging since the Cold War.

1. The Geopolitical Context: Why Now?

To understand this phenomenon, we must examine the cascading security developments that have led governments to conclude civilian preparedness is now essential.

1.1 NEW START Treaty Expiration (February 5, 2026)

For the first time since **1972**, there are no limits on U.S. and Russian nuclear arsenals. The NEW START treaty, which capped deployed strategic nuclear warheads at 1,550 for each side, expired on February 5, 2026, after Russia suspended participation in 2023 and no successor agreement was reached.

> **Historic Milestone:** This marks the first time in 54 years that the two largest nuclear powers have no binding constraints on their strategic nuclear forces. The previous era of unrestricted nuclear competition led to arsenals exceeding 30,000 warheads each.

The implications are profound:

- **No inspection regime:** Neither side can verify the other's arsenal or activities
- **No deployment caps:** Both sides can now expand deployed warheads without treaty violation

- **Increased uncertainty:** Strategic planners must assume worst-case scenarios
- **Potential arms race:** Both Russia and the U.S. have announced modernization programs

1.2 NATO Article 5 Credibility Concerns

The Munich Security Conference in February 2025 saw unprecedented public questioning of NATO's collective defense commitment. Comments from senior U.S. officials, including Vice President Vance, suggesting that Article 5 might not automatically trigger a response, sent shockwaves through European capitals.

> *"The question is no longer whether NATO will respond — it's how quickly and with what force. That uncertainty is precisely what deterrence was supposed to prevent."*
> *— European Security Analyst, February 2025*

This has accelerated European self-reliance initiatives:

- **Poland:** Military spending increased to 4.5% of GDP
- **Germany:** Bundeswehr modernization accelerated
- **Nordic countries:** Rapid NATO integration and domestic preparedness
- **Baltic states:** Comprehensive civil defense revival

1.3 Zapad 2025: Military Exercises with Nuclear Scenarios

Russia's Zapad 2025 military exercises, conducted in September-October 2025, were the largest since the Cold War. Intelligence assessments indicated the exercises included:

- Simulated nuclear strike planning against NATO targets
- Electronic warfare disruption of civilian infrastructure
- Mobilization of reserve forces at unprecedented scale

- Coordination with Belarusian military for potential western operations

The exercises coincided with increased submarine activity in the North Atlantic and Baltic Sea, raising concerns about undersea cable vulnerability.

1.4 Baltic Sea Infrastructure Sabotage

Since 2022, at least **10 undersea cables and pipelines** in the Baltic Sea have been damaged under suspicious circumstances:

CABLES DAMAGED

10+

since 2022

COUNTRIES AFFECTED

7

NATO members

REPAIR TIME

Weeks

per incident

REDUNDANCY

Limited

for some routes

Notable incidents include:

- **Nord Stream pipelines (Sept 2022):** Unprecedented sabotage of major energy infrastructure
- **Balticconnector (Oct 2023):** Finland-Estonia gas pipeline damaged by ship anchor
- **C-Lion1 cable (Nov 2024):** Finland-Germany telecommunications cable severed
- **Multiple cables (Dec 2024 - Jan 2025):** Sweden-Lithuania, Latvia-Sweden connections damaged

1.5 GPS Jamming Epidemic

The Baltic and Nordic regions have experienced an unprecedented increase in GPS interference:

Country	GPS Interference Incidents	Year-over-Year Change	Peak Month
Estonia	85% of flights affected at peak	+400%	March 2025
Latvia	31x increase in jamming events	+3,000%	February 2025
Finland	Widespread disruption	+250%	April 2025
Poland	Eastern border zone affected	+180%	March 2025

Sources: Eurocontrol, National aviation authorities, GPSJAM.org data compilation

The jamming affects:

- Commercial aviation navigation

- Emergency services coordination
- Maritime navigation in congested shipping lanes
- Agricultural equipment and logistics
- Telecommunications timing systems

1.6 China-Taiwan Gray Zone Intensification

Cross-strait tensions have escalated significantly:

- **Daily incursions:** PLA aircraft crossing the Taiwan Strait median line have become routine
- **Naval exercises:** Increased frequency and scale of encirclement drills
- **Cable threats:** Submarine cables connecting Taiwan to the global internet face increased risk
- **Civilian preparedness:** Taiwan has issued comprehensive civil defense guidance

1.7 2025 Iberian Blackout: A Warning

The April 2025 blackout affecting Spain and Portugal demonstrated the fragility of modern infrastructure:

> **The Iberian Blackout:** A grid synchronization failure cascaded across the Iberian Peninsula, affecting 50+ million people. Power was restored within hours, but the incident exposed critical vulnerabilities in interconnected systems and the speed at which modern societies can be paralyzed.

Key lessons:

- Modern infrastructure is highly interdependent
- Cascading failures can occur rapidly

- Even short disruptions cause significant societal stress
- Natural and technical failures can have attack-like effects

2. Country-by-Country Preparedness Mandates

The following table summarizes official government preparedness guidance across major democracies:

Country	Preparedness Duration	Official Guidance	Shelter Status	Status
SE Sweden	1 week (7 days)	"If Crisis or War Comes" brochure	65,000 shelters (7M capacity)	Active
FI Finland	72 hours minimum	72 Hours - Prepare for Disruptions	50,000+ shelters (4.5M capacity)	Active
NO Norway	72 hours	DSB preparedness guidance	Shelter renovation program	Active
DK Denmark	72 hours	DEMA civil preparedness	Limited public shelters	Active
EE Estonia	72 hours	Rescue Board guidance	Shelter expansion planned	Active

Country	Preparedness Duration	Official Guidance	Shelter Status	Status
LV Latvia	72 hours	Civil protection guidance	Soviet-era shelters assessed	Active
LT Lithuania	72 hours	PAGD preparedness guide	Shelter renovation ongoing	Active
DE Germany	10 days	BBK civil protection concept	579 shelters (83M population gap)	Limited
PL Poland	72 hours (mandated)	Civil defense law 2024	Shelter construction program	Active
EU EU-wide	72 hours recommended	Preparedness Union Strategy	Member state responsibility	Active
TW Taiwan	1 week (7 days)	Civil Defense Handbook	Comprehensive shelter network	Active
JP Japan	2 weeks shelter capacity	Cabinet Office guidance	Designated evacuation sites	Active
KR South Korea	72 hours	Civil defense drills mandatory	17,000+ public shelters	Active

Country	Preparedness Duration	Official Guidance	Shelter Status	Status
ID Indonesia	No specific guidance	Natural disaster focus only	No civil defense shelter network	None
ASEAN ASEAN Region	Variable	Natural disaster focused	Limited infrastructure	Limited

2.1 The German Shelter Gap

Germany presents a stark example of the Cold War drawdown in civil defense:

COLD WAR PEAK

2,000+

public shelters

CURRENT STATUS

579

functional shelters

POPULATION

83M

citizens

COVERAGE GAP

99%+

without shelter access

Following decades of "peace dividend" thinking, Germany is now rapidly reassessing its civil defense posture. The BBK (Federal Office of Civil Protection) has issued updated guidance, but infrastructure rebuilding will take years.

2.2 The Nordic Model

Sweden and Finland never fully dismantled their Cold War civil defense infrastructure. Sweden maintained:

- **65,000 shelters** capable of protecting 7 million people
- Mandatory building codes requiring shelter space in new construction
- Regular maintenance and inspection programs
- Public awareness campaigns ("If Crisis or War Comes" brochure)

Finland's approach is even more comprehensive, with shelter capacity for approximately 80% of its population.

2.3 Indonesia and ASEAN Context

It's notable that Indonesia and most ASEAN nations have **not** issued similar 72-hour preparedness guidance for security-related scenarios. Existing emergency preparedness focuses on natural disasters (earthquakes, tsunamis, volcanic eruptions) rather than conflict or infrastructure disruption.

Indonesian Context: While BNPB (National Disaster Management Agency) provides natural disaster preparedness guidance, there is no equivalent to the Nordic or East Asian civil defense frameworks for

security-related emergencies. This represents a potential gap as regional tensions evolve.

3. Cold War Comparison: Then and Now

The current preparedness push represents a return to Cold War-era civil defense thinking, but with key differences:

Aspect	Cold War Era	Current Era
Primary Threat	Nuclear war between superpowers	Hybrid warfare, infrastructure attack, regional conflict
Warning Time	Minutes to hours (ICBM)	Days to none (cyber, sabotage)
Infrastructure Dependency	Lower (more analog systems)	Extreme (digital, interconnected)
Public Awareness	High (duck and cover drills)	Low (peace dividend generation)
Shelter Infrastructure	Extensive (many demolished)	Degraded or non-existent in many countries
Self-Sufficiency Culture	Higher (less just-in-time)	Lower (globalized supply chains)

4. What the 72-Hour Guidance Actually Recommends

Across all the national guidance documents, common themes emerge for household preparedness:

4.1 Water

- Minimum 3 liters per person per day for drinking
- Additional water for cooking and hygiene
- Water purification tablets or filters as backup
- Knowledge of local water sources

4.2 Food

- Non-perishable items requiring minimal preparation
- High-calorie, nutrient-dense options
- Consideration for dietary restrictions and allergies
- Manual can opener (no electricity assumption)

4.3 Power and Communication

- Battery-powered or hand-crank radio
- Flashlights and spare batteries
- Power banks for mobile devices
- Cash (ATMs may not function)

4.4 Medical and Hygiene

- First aid kit with essential supplies
- Prescription medications (2-week supply minimum)
- Hygiene supplies for sanitation without running water
- Important documents in waterproof container

5. Interactive 72-Hour Survival Kit Calculator

Use this calculator to generate a personalized 72-hour emergency kit checklist based on your household composition, location, and specific needs. Hover over the question mark icons for detailed explanations of each parameter.

6. Why Governments Are Acting Now

The simultaneous global push for civilian preparedness reflects several converging assessments:

6.1 Hybrid Warfare Recognition

Military planners now acknowledge that future conflicts may not begin with obvious military action. Infrastructure disruption, cyber attacks, and "gray zone" activities can precede or substitute for conventional warfare.

6.2 Just-in-Time Vulnerability

Modern supply chains operate on just-in-time principles with minimal inventory. Supermarkets typically hold 3 days of stock; pharmacies even less. Any disruption cascades rapidly through society.

6.3 Digital Dependency

Critical infrastructure — power, water, communications, financial systems — depends on interconnected digital systems vulnerable to cyber attack or physical disruption.

6.4 Reduced Surge Capacity

Emergency services are optimized for normal operations. Large-scale crises can overwhelm response capacity within hours, making civilian self-sufficiency essential.

7. What This Means for Southeast Asia

While this analysis has focused on European and East Asian preparedness efforts, the implications for Southeast Asia, including Indonesia, merit consideration:

Opportunity for Proactive Planning: Rather than waiting for crisis to drive policy, Southeast Asian nations can learn from the Nordic and East Asian models to develop appropriate preparedness frameworks tailored to regional risks — whether from natural disasters, regional tensions, or infrastructure vulnerabilities.

Key considerations for the region:

- **Natural disaster preparedness as foundation:** Existing earthquake, tsunami, and volcanic emergency systems can be expanded
- **Submarine cable vulnerability:** Southeast Asia's internet connectivity depends on undersea cables that could be disrupted
- **Regional tension spillover:** South China Sea tensions could affect shipping and regional stability
- **Supply chain concentration:** High dependence on specific trade routes and suppliers

8. Conclusion: Preparedness is Not Panic

The global push for 72-hour civilian preparedness is not about creating fear — it's about building resilience. The governments issuing this guidance are not predicting imminent catastrophe; they're acknowledging that the risk environment has changed and that prepared citizens are more resilient citizens.

> *"If you are prepared, you will be able to help not only yourself but also your neighbors and community. Preparedness is not about panic — it is about responsibility."*
> *— Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency (MSB)*

The key takeaways:

1. **Global security environment has fundamentally changed** since 2022
2. **Infrastructure vulnerability is real** and demonstrated by recent events
3. **72-hour self-sufficiency is a reasonable baseline** for any household
4. **Preparedness reduces burden on emergency services** when they're most needed
5. **The time to prepare is before a crisis**, not during one

Whether you live in Stockholm, Taipei, or Jakarta, the underlying logic is the same: modern societies are more fragile than they appear, and individual preparedness contributes to collective resilience.

Action Item

Use the calculator above to assess your household's preparedness needs. Start with the basics — water, food, first aid — and build from there. Even partial preparedness is better than none.

References & Official Sources

1. Om krisen eller kriget kommer (If Crisis or War Comes) (https://rib.msb.se/filer/pdf/30828.pdf)
   Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency (MSB) — Official preparedness brochure
2. EU Preparedness Union Strategy (https://commission.europa.eu/topics/preparedness_en)
   European Commission — EU-wide preparedness framework
3. Taiwan Civil Defense Handbook (https://prepare.mnd.gov.tw/assets/pdf/manual-en.pdf)
   Taiwan Ministry of National Defense — Civilian emergency preparedness guide
4. 72 Hours - Prepare for Disruptions (https://intermin.fi/en/rescue-services/preparedness/preparedness-guide)

Finnish Ministry of the Interior — National preparedness guidance

5. Federal Office of Civil Protection and Disaster Assistance (BBK) (https://www.bbk.bund.de)
   German Federal Government — Civil protection resources
6. Norwegian Directorate for Civil Protection (DSB) (https://www.dsb.no)
   Norwegian Government — Emergency preparedness guidance
7. Arms Control Association — NEW START Treaty Fact Sheet (https://www.armscontrol.org/factsheets/new-start-at-a-glance)
   Analysis of nuclear arms control frameworks and treaty status
8. Eurocontrol — GPS Interference Reports (https://www.eurocontrol.int/publication/eurocontrol-network-manager-annual-report)
   Aviation safety data on GPS jamming incidents across European airspace
9. Munich Security Report 2025 (https://securityconference.org/en/publications/munich-security-report-2025/)
   NATO alliance discussions, deterrence debates, and collective defense statements
10. Baltic Sea Cable Incident Reports (2022-2026) (https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/finland-says-undersea-cables-estonia-damaged-by-external-activity-2024-12-25/)
    Reuters and national sources documenting Nord Stream, Balticconnector, and C-Lion1 incidents
11. GPSJAM.org — Live GPS Interference Map (https://gpsjam.org)
    Real-time tracking of GPS jamming and spoofing incidents globally
12. NATO — Collective Defence Article 5 (https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/topics_110496.htm)
    Official NATO documentation on mutual defense obligations
13. IEA — World Energy Outlook 2025 (https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2025)
    International Energy Agency analysis on energy security and infrastructure

Download PDF Report (geopolitics-1-paper.pdf)

Print Report

Bagus Dwi Permana

Engineering Operations Manager with expertise in critical infrastructure resilience. This analysis draws on infrastructure management experience and ongoing monitoring of global security developments affecting operational continuity.